

ETHEidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich**Fax**

An/To:

USPTO
 Commissioner of Patents
 P. O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:

Dr. D. Kornfeld
 CLV 04

Fax 571/273 8300
 Datum/Date April 3 2006
 Seiten/Pages 16 mal cover
 Betreff/Re: Response to office action

Institut für Computersysteme
Computer Systems Institute

Sekretariat
 ETH-Zentrum RZ H18
 CH 8092 Zürich / Switzerland
 +41-44-632 73 11
 +41-44-632 13 07
 sekcs@inf.ethz.ch

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 03 2006

dringend/urgent
 persönlich/personal
 vertraulich/confidential

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

April 3, 2006

APR 03 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This correspondence relates to:

Application No. 10/708,419
Filing Date 03/02/2004
Title of Invention Methods and Apparatus for the Suppression
of Pinning in Stereoscopic Display Systems
Inventor: Cary Dikel Kornfeld
Examiner: Audrey Y. Chang
Art Unit: 2872

In response to the Office Action with mailing date March 6, 2006 please accept for review the following changes to patent application number 10/708,419. The application was electronically submitted. Enclosed you will find one faxed copy of this application augmented to address the issues raised in the office action and discussed via telephone on approximately March 10, 2006.

Those portions of the specification that have been deleted are notated by strikethrough clearly delineating those portions deleted on each line. New material is underlined.

Those changes that have been made address issues the examiner has raised concerning the use of the term "pinning". The literature describing stereoscopic visual conflicts (e.g. partial occlusion) does not provide a uniform term or phrase to describe the loss of stereoscopic perception caused by the conditions described in this patent application. The term "pinning" was originally heard during discussions with colleagues at MIT who used it in the context of "the stereoscopic image is 'pinned' by the border of the display because of this conflict. Because of potential ambiguities that may arise from the use of this term, the specification has been modified to clearly describe the effect, its causes and the conditions under which the term finds meaning. All uses of the term in the specification have been modified to provide a clear explanation of the meaning and implications of this term. The additional modifier "stereoscopic" precedes all uses of this term in the claims of the patent. No new material (other than these clarifications) have been made. No changes have been made to the figures.

Respectfully submitted by



Cary Dikel Kornfeld